Allen Matkins

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

Attorneys at Law

515 South Figueroa, 9th Floor | Los Angeles, CA 90071-3309

Telephone: 213.622.5555 | Facsimile: 213.620.8816

www.allenmatkins.com

Emily L. Murray

E-mail: emurray@allenmatkins.com

Direct Dial: 213.955.5584 File Number: 194294-01639/LA957274.01

Expert Witnesses: Prep, Depos, Exam and Cross Skills - How to Get it Right May 23, 2013 9:00 a.m. – 4:45 p.m.

Los Angeles Athletic Club

PREPARING EFFECTIVE EXPERT WITNESS REPORTS AND EXHIBITS

- I. Federal v. State Court Expert Report Requirements (civil litigation)
 - A. State Court: Expert Discovery governed by CCP §§ 20334.010 2034.730 and Evidence Code §§ 720-723, 801
 - 1. Expert identity must be disclosed, but report not required
 - 2. Specific demand for reports and writings should be included in demand for expert witness list. CCP § 2034.210(c)
 - B. Federal Court: Expert Discovery governed by FRCP 26 and FRE 701-706
 - 1. Expert reports must be prepared and produced before trial. FRCP 26(a)(2)
 - 2. Report must contain (FRCP 26(a)(2)(B)):
 - a) a complete statement of all opinions the witness will express and the basis and reasons for them;
 - b) the facts or data considered by the witness in forming them;
 - c) any exhibits that will be used to summarize or support them;
 - d) the witness's qualifications, including a list of all publications authored in the previous 10 years;
 - e) a list of all other cases in which, during the previous 4 years, the witness testified as an expert at trial or by deposition; and
 - f) a statement of the compensation to be paid for the study and testimony in the case.

- II. What Makes an Expert Report Effective?
 - A. Written by the expert not the lawyer!
 - B. Truthful and credible
 - C. Logical presentation
 - a) Follow the "scientific method"
 - b) Physical observation and testing v. untested hypothesis
 - c) Direct observation of evidence and witnesses
 - D. Plain language and presentation
 - a) Effective Graphs, charts, spreadsheets, diagrams
 - b) Avoid redlining your expert's report and avoid legalese
 - c) Expert will have to defend report in deposition
- III. Making the Report Impeachment-Proof
 - A. Defendable position; "test" your expert's conclusions before finalizing report
 - B. Internal consistency and accuracy
 - C. Consistency with prior positions in unrelated matters
- IV. Use Care in Communications with Testifying Experts
 - A. Attorneys must not "instruct" the expert
 - B. Drafts
 - 1. Can be discoverable (but see 2010 amendment to FRCP); use care
 - 2. Make sure expert marks draft as draft and confidential and privileged
 - C. Documents and evidence provided to expert
 - 1. Make sure your expert has all relevant material; avoid deposition surprises
 - 2. Make sure your expert does NOT receive or rely on work product or privileged material

D. Emails

- 1. Communications with experts may be discoverable
- 2. Use discretion and use the phone!

V. Conclusions

- A. Whether or not required, expert report can be a powerful tool in litigation
- B. Report is only as good as the expert and expert's ability to defend